

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**  
**NAGPUR BENCH NAGPUR**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 545 of 2020 (SB)**

**APPLICANT :** Vishnu Mahadeorao Bhojar, Aged about 58 years, Occupation: Retired ( Circle Officer), R/o Mahalaxminagar,, Wadegaon road, Yavatmal, Tah. & Dist. Yavatmal.

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS :**

- 1) The State of Maharashtra, through it's Additional Chief Secretary, Ministry of Revenue and Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
- 2) The Divisional Commissioner, Amravati Division Amravati.
- 3) The District Collector, Washim, Tah. & Dist. Washim.

---

**Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Advocate for the applicant.**  
**Shri A.M.Ghogre, P.O. for respondents.**

---

**WITH**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 546 of 2020 (SB)**

**APPLICANT :** Rajendra Narayanrao Jagtap, Aged about 58 years, Occupation: Retired ( Awal Karkun), R/o Near Harekrushna Manglam, Mata nagar, Ralgegaon, Tah. Ralegaon, Dist. Yavatmal.

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS :**

- 1) The State of Maharashtra, through it's Additional Chief Secretary, Ministry of Revenue and Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
- 2) The Divisional Commissioner, Amravati Division Amravati.
- 3) The District Collector, Amravati, Tah. & Dist. Amravati.

---

**Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Advocate for the applicant.**  
**Shri M.I. Khan, P.O. for respondents.**

---

**WITH**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 547 of 2020 (SB)**

**APPLICANT :** Murlidhar Babaraoji Kale, Aged about 58 years, Occupation: Retired ( Awalkarkun), R/o Chhatarpati nagar, Ajanti road, Ner, Tah. Ner, Dist. Yavatmal.

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS :**

- 1) The State of Maharashtra, through it's Additional Chief Secretary, Ministry of Revenue and Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
- 2) The Divisional Commissioner, Amravati Division Amravati.
- 3) The District Collector, Yavatmal, Tah. & Dist. Yavatmal.

---

**Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Advocate for the applicant.**

**Shri S.A. Sainis, P.O. for respondents.**

---

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 548 of 2020 (SB)**

**APPLICANT :**

Sandeep Wasudeorao Gulhane, Aged about 55 years, Occupation: Service ( Talathi), R/o Vandevi nagar, Karanja, Tah. Karanja (Lad), Dist. Washim.

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS :**

- 1) The State of Maharashtra, through it's Additional Chief Secretary, Ministry of Revenue and Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
- 2) The Divisional Commissioner, Amravati Division Amravati.
- 3) The District Collector, Washim, Tah. & Dist. Washim.

---

**Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Advocate for the applicant.**

**Shri A.M. Khadatkar, P.O. for respondents.**

---

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 549 of 2020 (SB)**

**APPLICANT :**

Dnyaneshwar Narayanrao More, Aged about 68 years, Occupation: Retired, R/o At post, Gouri vihar kavita road Mandali Ta, Achalpur, Dist, Amravati.

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS :**

- 1) The State of Maharashtra, through it's Additional Chief Secretary, Ministry of Revenue and Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

- 2) The Divisional Commissioner, Amravati Division Amravati.
- 3) The District Collector, Amravati, Tah. & Dist. Amravati.

---

**Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Advocate for the applicant.**  
**Shri A.P. Potnis, P.O. for respondents.**

---

**WITH**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 550 of 2020 (SB)**

**APPLICANT :** Vasant Sahebrao Kadgale, Aged about 58 years, Occupation: Retired ( Awal Karkun), R/o Near Karikrushna Manglam, Mata nagar, Ralgegaon, Tah. Ralegaon, Dist. Yavatmal.

**VERSUS**

- RESPONDENTS :**
- 1) The State of Maharashtra, through it's Additional Chief Secretary, Ministry of Revenue and Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
  - 2) The Divisional Commissioner, Amravati Division Amravati.
  - 3) The District Collector, Yavatmal, Tah. & Dist. Yavatmal.

---

**Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Advocate for the applicant.**  
**Shri H.K. Pande, P.O. for respondents.**

---

**WITH**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 551 of 2020 (SB)**

**APPLICANT :** Uday Narayanrao Uplenchwar, Aged about 64 years, Occupation: Retired ( Awalkarkun), R/o Shambhaji nagar, Yavatmal, Tah. & Dist. Yavatmal.

**VERSUS**

- RESPONDENTS :**
- 1) The State of Maharashtra, through it's Additional Chief Secretary, Ministry of Revenue and Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
  - 2) The Divisional Commissioner, Amravati Division Amravati.
  - 3) The District Collector, Yavmtal, Tah. & Dist. Yavatmal.

---

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Advocate for the applicant.  
Shri V.A. Kulkarni, P.O. for respondents.

---

WITH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 578 of 2020 (SB)

APPLICANT : Ashok Motiram Dhore, Aged about 58 years,  
Occupation: Retired ( Awal Karkun), R/o  
Venkatesh nagar, Near Rest House Digras, Tah.  
Digras, Dist. Yavatmal.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1) The State of Maharashtra, through it's  
Additional Chief Secretary, Ministry of  
Revenue and Forest Department,  
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.  
2) The Divisional Commissioner, Amravati  
Division Amravati.  
3) The District Collector, Yavatmal, Tah. &  
Dist. Yavatmal.

---

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Advocate for the applicant.  
Shri A.P. Potnis, P.O. for respondents.

---

WITH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No. 579 of 2020 (SB)

APPLICANT : Mangla Wd/o Sudam Thute, Aged about 52  
years, Occupation: Housewife, R/o Indira nagar  
New Vsti, Ralegaon, Tah. Ralegaon, Dist.  
Yavatmal.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1) The State of Maharashtra, through it's  
Additional Chief Secretary, Ministry of  
Revenue and Forest Department,  
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.  
2) The Divisional Commissioner, Amravati  
Division Amravati.  
3) The District Collector, Yavatmal, Tah. &  
Dist. Yavatmal.

---

Shri S.N. Gaikwad, Advocate for the applicant.  
Shri A.M. Ghogre, P.O. for respondents.

---

---

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar,  
Member (J).**

---

**Date of Reserving for Judgment : 3<sup>rd</sup> December,2021.**

**Date of Pronouncement of Judgment : 17<sup>th</sup> December,2021.**

**COMMON JUDGMENT**

**(Delivered on this 17<sup>th</sup> day of December,2021)**

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, learned counsel for the applicants in all O.As. and Shri A.M. Ghogre, learned P.O. and other learned P.Os. in the respective O.As. for the respondents.

2. All the applicants in the O.As. were working as a Muster Assistant on consolidated pay of Rs.300/- p.m. w.e.f. respective dates. They were paid regular pay scale from 22/2/1993 in the Pay Scale of Rs.750-940. The employees in O.A. No.545/2020 (Vishanu M. Bhoyar), in O.A.No. 546/2020 (Rajendra N. Jagtap), in O.A. No.548/2020 (Sandip W. Gulhane), inO.A. 549/2020 (Dhyaneshwar N. More), in O.A.550/2020 (Vasant S. Kadgale), in O.A. 551/2020 (Uday N. Uplenchwar), in O.A.578/2020 (Ashok M. Dhore) and in O.A.579/2020 (deceased Sudam L. Thute) were discontinued from their services on 28/5/1990 and in O.A. 547/2020 (Murlidhar B. Kale) were discontinued in the year 1987. They approached to the Labour Court. They were reinstated with continuity of service, as per the order of Labour Court dated 7/8/1990. The applicants absorbed in regular service as per the G.Rs. dated 1/12/1995 and 21/4/1999 (as shown in the chart below). Some of the employees were given time bound promotional scale and some of them were promoted. Some of the employees retired as given in the Chart -

6 O.A. Nos. 545,546,547,548,549,550,551,578 & 579 of 2020

Sr. No.	O.A. No.	Name of employee	Entry in service under EGS as Muster Assistant	Regular Pay Scale as on	Discontinued from Service	Reinstatement as per order of Labour Court	Date Of Absorption In Regular Service	Promotion	Date of Retirement
1	545/2020	Vishanu M. Bhoyar	24.04.1985	22/2/1993 (Rs.750-940)	28/5/1990	7/8/1990	17.05.2003 Talathi	Time bound higher pay scale on 23/1/2018	31.07.2020
2	546/2020	Rajendra N. Jagtap	26.10.1984	22/2/1993 (Rs.750-940)	28/5/1990	7/8/1990	17.04.2003 Jr. Clerk	Awal Karkun on 1/4/2013	29.02.2020
3	547/2020	Murlidhar B. Kale	19/10/1982	(i) 19/10/1982 (Rs.200) (ii) In the year 1986 (Rs.750-940)	(i) 21/9/1983. (ii) Again re-engaged in 1986. (iii) Discontinued in 1987. Again re-engaged (iv) 28/5/1990	7/8/1990	10.04.2003 Jr. Clerk	Awal Karkun on 3/2/2014	30.04.2020
4	548/2020	Sandip W. Gulhane	22.09.1984	22/2/1993 (Rs.750-940)	<b>Continued</b>	---	13.05.2003 Jr. Clerk	----	In Service
5	549/2020	Dhyaneshwar N. More	30.12.1986	22/2/1993 (Rs.750-940)	28/5/1990	7/8/1990	25.11.2002 Jr. Clerk	----	30.06.2010
6	550/2020	Vasant S. Kadgale	11/10/1982	22/2/1993 (Rs.750-940)	28/5/1990	7/8/1990	10.04.2003 Jr. Clerk	Awal Karkun on 6/9/2014	31.03.2020
7	551/2020	Uday N. Uplenchwar	08.01.1981	22/2/1993 (Rs.750-940)	28/5/1990	7/8/1990	03.06.1997 Jr. Clerk	Awal Karkun on 31/12/2007	30.06.2015
8	578/2020	Ashok M. Dhore	03.05.1983	22/2/1993 (Rs.750-940)	28/5/1990	7/8/1990	17.05.2000 Jr. Clerk	Awal Karkun on 31/12/2009	30.09.2020
9	579/2020	Deceased Sudam L.Thute ( applicant wife Mangla S. Thute)	14.11.1983	22/2/1993 (Rs.750-940)	28/5/1990	7/8/1990	13.10.2003 Jr. Clerk	---	31.03.2011

3. In O.A. 579/2020 employee namely Sudam L. Thute died on 27/5/2014. His wife Mangla S. Thute filed the complaint to get pensionary benefits as like other employees. All the employees / applicants made representations to the respondents to grant them pensionary benefits by taking into account their dates of their initial appointments as Muster Assistant, but their grievances are not considered, therefore, they approached to this Tribunal.

4. All the applicants prayed in their respective O.As. to direct the respondents to consider them as permanent employee from their initial appointment on the post of Muster Assistants.

5. All the applications are strongly opposed by the respondents by filing reply-affidavit. The contentions of the applicants are denied by the respondents. It is submitted that the applicants were given pensionary benefits from the date of their absorptions in regular service. It is submitted that action on the part of respondents as per the G.Rs. dated 1/12/1995 and 21/4/1999 are self explanatory and in both the GRs. which is categorically stated that past service on the post of Muster Assistant prior to absorption in State Government cadre will not be counted for any purposes. The applicants were appointed under the Employment Guarantee Scheme (EGS) Scheme and lateron absorbed them in State Government cadre as per the policy of State Government, therefore, the applicants are not entitled to count their past services before their absorptions in a regular Government service. Therefore, all the O.As. are liable to be dismissed.

6. Heard learned counsel for the applicants. He has pointed out the Judgment of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (MAT), Bench at Aurangabad and Judgment of Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad. The learned counsel has submitted that one of the Muster Assistant was terminated from 28/7/1988. He was reinstated on 26/8/1991. He was absorbed in service and was appointed as a Forest Guard. He came to be retired on 31/5/2013. He had continued his service from 1/10/1988 in the pay scale of Rs.740-950 till the date of his retirement, therefore, he prayed to count his service from that date and to grant pensionary benefits.

7. The learned counsel has pointed out the operative order passed by the MAT, Bench at Aurangabad in O.A. 199/2014. The learned counsel has submitted that the said order was challenged by the State / respondents in the Writ Petition No. 8468/2015. The order of MAT, Bench at Aurangabad was confirmed by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad. The SLP was preferred before the Hon'ble Apex Court. It came to be dismissed on 23/02/2017. The Id. Counsel has submitted that the applicants were terminated, therefore, they approached to the Labour Court. They were reinstated with continuity of service as per the Labour Court order, therefore, they are entitled for their continuity of services from the date of their initial appointments.

8. Heard the learned P.O. He has submitted that the Govt. G.Rs. dated 1/12/1995 and 21/4/1999 are self explanatory. As per these G.Rs., who were working as Muster Assistants, were absorbed in regular Govt.



service. As per the G.Rs., the past services cannot be taken into account for any benefit. In support of his submission, he has pointed out the decision of Hon'ble Bombay High Court in Writ Petition No. 10471/2014, decided on 13/11/2017.

9. There is no dispute that all the applicants were working as a Muster Assistant from their initial date of entry shown in the Chart. They were given regular pay scale of Muster Assistant as per G.Rs. dated 22/2/1993 in the pay scale of Rs.750-940/-.

10. The employees in O.A. No.545/2020 (Vishanu M. Bhojar), in O.A.No. 546/2020 (Rajendra N. Jagtap), in O.A. No.548/2020 (Sandip W. Gulhane), in O.A. 549/2020 (Dhyaneshwar N. More), in O.A.550/2020 (Vasant S. Kadgale), in O.A. 551/2020 (Uday N. Uplenchwar), in O.A.578/2020 (Ashok M. Dhore) and in O.A.579/2020 (deceased Sudam L. Thute) were discontinued from their services on 28/5/1990.

11. All of them challenged their terminations before the Labour Court. As per order of Labour Court dated 7/8/1999 they were reinstated with continuity of service. One of the employees namely Murlidhar B. Kale (in O.A.547/2020) entered in service as a Muster Assistant under EGS from 19/10/1982. He was discontinued from service on 21/9/1983. The respondents again re-engaged him in the year 1986. He was again discontinued in the year 1987. Again he was engaged and again discontinued on 28/5/1990. The applicant approached to the Labour Court. He was reinstated as per the order of Labour Court dated 7/8/1990 with

continuity of service. He was absorbed as per the order dated 4/4/2003 on the post of Junior Clerk and he joined his duty on 10/4/2003.

\*12. One of the employee Uttam N. Vendait was working as Muster Assistant. His service was terminated on 28/7/1988. He approached to the Labour Court. The Labour Court granted relief of reinstatement on the post of Muster Assistant with continuity of service. He was reinstated as per the order of Labour Court dated 26/8/1991. The respondents / authority did not challenge the Judgment of Labour Court, therefore, the Judgment of Labour Court attained the finality. Thereafter also benefit of continuity of service was not granted and therefore employee namely Uttam N. Vendait filed O.A. No. 199/2014. The M.A.T., Bench at Aurangabad directed the respondents / state that the service from the date of initial appointment on the post of Muster Assistant shall be treated as continue service for the purpose of pensionary benefits.

13. The Judgment of MAT, Bench at Aurangabad Bench in O.A. 199/2014 attained the finality as it is upheld upto the Hon'ble Apex Court. That Judgment was challenged before the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad in W.P. No. 8468/2015. The operative part of the Judgment of MAT, Aurangabad in O.A. 199/2014 reproduced as under -

*" (i) In view of the Judgment and order dated 26/08/1991 passed by the learned Judge, Labour Court, Dhule in Complaint (ULP) No.47/1989 for the purpose of counting his service for pensionary benefits, applicant shall be treated as permanent employee with effect from his entry in the service as Muster Assistant till the date of his superannuation i.e. 31/05/2013.*

(ii) Respondents shall accordingly consider the case of the applicant for grant of pensionary benefits, as may be admissible, as per rules.

(iii) There shall be no order as to costs."

14. The Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad referred the Judgment in case of **Ramchandra Kondiba Mahajan vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.**, and held that the services of Muster Assistant is to be counted from the date of initial posting as Muster Assistant. The para-15 of the Judgment is reproduced as under –

*"15) For the reasons stated above, we do not find that the learned Tribunal has committed any error in directing the State, to count the period of service of the respondent employee with effect from his entry in the service as Muster Assistant till the date of his superannuation on 31.05.2013 from the post of Forest Guard, for the purpose of extending benefit of pension to him. The writ petition is, thus, devoid of any substance and is liable to be rejected. Hence, following order:*

*ORDER*

*. Writ petition is rejected.*

*. No order as to cost."*

15. The respondents in that petition challenged the said Judgment in SLP No.23504/2016. The said SLP was dismissed on 23/2/2017. The operative part is reproduced as under –

*" Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners.  
Delay condoned.  
We do not find any reason to entertain this petition.  
The special leave petition is, accordingly, dismissed."*

16. Learned P.O. has relied on the Judgment in case of **Vikar Ansar Shaikh & Ano. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.** in Writ Petition No. 10471/2014, decided on 30/11/2017. The Hon'ble Bombay High Court has held that the G.R. of December, 1995 is self explanatory. As per clause 5 of

the G.R. dated 21/4/1999, the Muster Assistant working under the EGS Scheme are not Govt. employees and therefore the Maharashtra Service Rules as well as rules applicable to the State Govt. employees are not applicable to them. Therefore, the benefits of 5<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission will not be made applicable to them. The Hon'ble Bombay High Court has held that the G.Rs. dated 1/12/1995 and 21/4/1999 are self explanatory, therefore, Muster Assistants who were working under the EGS scheme cannot claim to count their services of the post of Muster Assistant.

17. From the reading of the Judgment cited by Id. P.O., it appears that the Judgment of Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Aurangabad Bench in W.P. No.8468/2015 which was confirmed by the Supreme Court was not cited.

\*18. The State Government / respondents have acted upon the Judgment of Hon'ble Bombay High Court, Bench at Aurangabad in W.P. No. 8468/2015. The Collector, Wardha given the direction to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Wardha to regularize the services of employees who were absorbed in regular service from the post of Muster Assistants. The State Government issued G.R. dated 1/8/2017 stating that as per general rules, the similarly situated employees who were given benefit as per the decision of the Court, then other similarly situated employees are also entitled for the same benefit. As per the order dated 4/6/2020 the CEO, Zilla Parishad, Wardha has given the benefit of continuity of service from the initial date of posting on the post of Muster Assistants to the 13 employees. As per the order dated 6/3/2018 the CEO, Zilla Parishad, Ahmednagar has given the benefit of continuity of service from the initial date of posting on the post of Muster Assistants to the 24 employees. In the present O.As., the

respondents have not taken care of the said G.R. All the applicants were terminated / discontinued, but they were reinstated as per the order of Labour Court with continuity of service.

19. All the applicants are having similar grievances as like the applicant in O.A. No. 199/2014 filed before the MAT, Bench at Aurangabad. The Judgment of MAT, Bench at Aurangabad was confirmed upto the Hon'ble Apex Court. Therefore, the decision in Judgment of MAT, Bench at Aurangabad in O.A. 199/2014 is applicable to the grievances of the applicants. In that view of the matter, the following order is passed –

**ORDER**

- (i) The O.As. are allowed.
- (ii) The applicants in O.A.Nos.545,546,547,549,550,551 and 578 of 2020 and deceased employee Sudam L. Thute (applicant Mangla S. Thute) in O.A. 579 of 2020 shall be treated as permanent employees with effect from their entries in the service as Muster Assistants till the date of their superannuation.
- (iii) The respondents shall accordingly consider the case of the applicants / deceased employee for all the pensionary benefits, as may be admissible, as per rules.
- (iii) The applicant in O.A. 548/2020 (Sandip W. Gulhane) is in service. He is entitled for all the service benefits as per rules, as a permanent employee from the date of his entry in service on the post of Muster Assistant.
- (iv) No order as to costs.

**Dated** :- 17/12/2021.

**(Justice M.G. Giratkar)**  
**Member (J).**

dnk.\*

I affirm that the contents of the PDF file order are word to word same as per original Judgment.

Name of Steno : D.N. Kadam

Court Name : Court of Hon'ble Member (J).

\*Judgment signed on : 17/12/2021.

Uploaded on : 17/12/2021.

As per order of this Tribunal dated 24/12/2021, the necessary mistakes are corrected on page nos.5 & 6. The corrected page nos.5&6 read as follows -

---

**Coram :- Hon'ble Shri Justice M.G. Giratkar, Member (J).**

---

**Date of Reserving for Judgment : 3<sup>rd</sup> December, 2021.**  
**Date of Pronouncement of Judgment : 17<sup>th</sup> December, 2021.**

**COMMON JUDGMENT**

**(Delivered on this 17<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2021)**

Heard Shri S.N. Gaikwad, learned counsel for the applicants in all O.As. and Shri A.M. Ghogre, learned P.O. and other learned P.Os. in the respective O.As. for the respondents.

2. All the applicants in the O.As. were working as a Muster Assistant on consolidated pay of Rs.300/- p.m. w.e.f. respective dates. They were paid regular pay scale **vide G.R. dtd. 22/2/1993** in the Pay Scale of Rs.750-940. The employees in O.A. No.545/2020 (Vishanu M. Bhojar), in O.A.No. 546/2020 (Rajendra N. Jagtap), in O.A. No.548/2020 (Sandip W. Gulhane), in O.A. 549/2020 (Dhyaneshwar N. More), in O.A.550/2020 (Vasant S. Kadgale), in O.A. 551/2020 (Uday N. Uplenchwar), in O.A.578/2020 (Ashok M. Dhore) and in O.A.579/2020 (deceased Sudam L. Thute) were discontinued from their services on 28/5/1990 and in O.A. 547/2020 (Murlidhar B. Kale) were discontinued in the year 1987. They approached to the Labour Court. They were reinstated with continuity of service, as per the order of Labour Court dated 7/8/1990. The applicants absorbed in regular service as per the G.Rs. dated 1/12/1995 and 21/4/1999 (as shown in the chart below). Some of the employees were given time bound promotional scale and some of them were promoted. Some of the employees retired as given in the Chart -

16 O.A. Nos. 545,546,547,548,549,550,551,578 & 579 of 2020

Sr. No.	O.A. No.	Name of employee	Entry in service under EGS as Muster Assistant	Regular Pay Scale as on	Discontinued from Service	Reinstatement as per order of Labour Court	Date Of Absorption In Regular Service	Promotion	Date of Retirement
1	545/2020	Vishanu M. Bhoyar	24.04.1985	Vide G.R. 22/2/1993 (Rs.750-940)	1/8/1987	18/11/1997	17.05.2003 Talathi	Time bound higher pay scale on 23/1/2018	31.07.2020
2	546/2020	Rajendra N. Jagtap	26.10.1984	Vide G.R. 22/2/1993 (Rs.750-940)	30/6/1990	6/2/1996	17.04.2003 Jr. Clerk	Awal Karkun on 1/4/2013	29.02.2020
3	547/2020	Murlidhar B. Kale	19/10/1982	(i) 19/10/1982 (Rs.200) (ii) In the year 1986 (Rs.750-940) Vide G.R. 22/2/1993 (Rs.750-940)	(i) 21/9/1983. (ii) Again re-engaged in 1986. (iii) Discontinued in 1987. Again re-engaged (iv) 28/5/1990	1/11/1987	10.04.2003 Jr. Clerk	Awal Karkun on 3/2/2014	30.04.2020
4	548/2020	Sandip W. Gulhane	22.09.1984	Vide G.R. 22/2/1993 (Rs.750-940)	<b>Continued</b>	---	13.05.2003 Talathi	----	In Service
5	549/2020	Dhyaneshwar N. More	30.12.1986	Vide G.R. 22/2/1993 (Rs.750-940)	1/7/1990	2/4/1991	25.11.2002 Jr. Clerk	----	30.06.2010
6	550/2020	Vasant S. Kadgale	11/10/1982	Vide G.R. 22/2/1993 (Rs.750-940)	1/10/1990	20/3/1995	10.04.2003 Jr. Clerk	Awal Karkun on 6/9/2014	31.03.2020
7	551/2020	Uday N. Uplenchwar	08.01.1981	Vide G.R. 22/2/1993 (Rs.750-940)	1/11/1987	27/12/1996	03.06.1997 Jr. Clerk	Awal Karkun on 31/12/2007	30.06.2015
8	578/2020	Ashok M. Dhore	03.05.1983	Vide G.R. 22/2/1993 (Rs.750-940)	13/3/1986	30/9/1986	17.05.2000 Jr. Clerk	Awal Karkun on 31/12/2009	30.09.2020
9	579/2020	Deceased Sudam L.Thute ( applicant wife Mangla S. Thute)	14.11.1983	Vide G.R. 22/2/1993 (Rs.750-940)	1/7/1990	6/2/1996	13.10.2003 Jr. Clerk	---	31.03.2011



Corrected pages uploaded on : 29/12/2021.\*\*